

End-Use Markets for Byproducts from the Recycling & Energy Center – Addendum Six



**RAMSEY/WASHINGTON
RECYCLING & ENERGY**
CONNECTING VALUE TO WASTE

Issued Date: 10/19/2020

Addition(s)/Change(s)/Clarification(s):

- Change in Solicitation Response Due Date
- Change in Terms and Conditions
- Other

Questions and Answers:

1. Will all SSOM under current collection methods be diverted to the eventual solution for DCB and ORM or will a portion be retained for other purposes? If so, how much?

A1. The intention of the DCB and ORM program is to divert additional organic materials that are currently in the inbound MSW, generated by residences and businesses and delivered to the R&E Center.

Robust source-separation programs for commercial and industrial generators are anticipated to continue (e.g. food to people, food to livestock, etc.) and are not intended to be included in the DCB program or ORM recovery.

2. Will any of the food scraps in the ‘food to people’ or ‘food to hogs’ programs increase or decrease as a result of this proposed project and if so, how much is expected? Will these increases/decreases affect the projected volumes of DCB or ORM?

A2. Please see the answer to question 1 in this addendum.

3. Can a recording of the pre-proposal conference be made available?

A3. Due to unforeseen technical difficulties, a recording of the pre-proposal conference was inadvertently not captured. The slide deck from the R&E staff presentation may be found online at <http://morevaluelesstrash.com/vendor-opportunities>. All questions that were asked during the meeting were answered in Addendum Four, published on September 30, which may also be found at the above webpage.

4. Will the DCBs be further separated into food and non-food scraps?

A4. At this time, further separation of food and non-food scraps by the R&E Center is not anticipated.

5. Are DCBs and ORM required to go to the same vendor?

A5. No, there is no requirement that DCBs and ORM go to the same vendor. Proposers should include in their RFP response which material or materials they propose to manage.

- 6. How is commercial food waste being handled under this program? Small businesses are allowed to opt-in, but what about larger commercial food processors, wholesalers and distributors? Will those waste streams be carved out to this program as well?**

A6. Please see the answer to question 1 in this addendum.

While organics generated by commercial food processors, wholesalers and distributors are not the target for the DCB program, this RFP is not intended to prevent vendors from accepting materials from other sources.

- 7. The comment in Section 2.8 of the RFP states that the “Board anticipates an offtake agreement for gases such as methane produced from the DCBs and ORM.” Is the Board expecting to purchase RNG under these offtake agreements or is this a general statement about offtake in general?**

A7. The comment in Section 2.8 is intended to be a general statement about offtake. The R&E Board has not contemplated purchasing RNG under these offtake agreements.

- 8. Regarding the statement in Section 2.8 of the RFP, is the Board requiring CO2 offtake as well? Is capture of CH4 and/or CO2 required for ORM?**

A8. The R&E Board anticipates an offtake agreement for gases from the DCBs and ORM. Methane is provided as an example. The R&E Board as indicated has a preference for RNG production, but is open to other alternatives.

All Addenda are to be acknowledged on the Cover Page to be included with your submission. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF THE SOLICITATION RESPONSE. Unless otherwise specified above, the Solicitation Response due date and time and all other Terms and Conditions remain the same.